

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,401	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MUHRER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MINA HAGHIGHATIAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MINA HAGHIGHATIAN. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Meara. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2012.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐101    ☒112    ☐102    ☒103    ☐Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 11,16-18,24 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner telephoned Applicant because certain claims were considered allowable while some were not in condition for allowance. Examiner explained that claims 11, 24 and 25 require amendments to obviate 112 issues while claims 16-18 were not allowable. Mr. Meara agreed to amendments and cancellation of claims 16-18 in favor of allowing the remaining claims. (An Examiner's Amendment is attached).

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616	
--	--